Field Application of High Strength Deep Mixing Method for Waste Water Pipeline in Soft Ground

E. C. Shin¹ and J. K. Kang² and Y. K. Rim³ and J. J. Park⁴

¹ Dept. of Civil and Environmental Eng. Incheon National University, Incheon, Korea

Abstract. Recently the high-rise residential buildings are being constructed in soft ground along the coastal area. Several infrastructures such as road, bridge, drinking and waste water supply lines and necessary to meet the basic requirement by the residents at the apartment complex. In this paper, the field application of High Strength Deep Mixing method(HDCM) is presented for reconstruction of collapsed waste water supply line with the diameter of 1000 mm in soft ground. Soil samples were obtained for various laboratory tests which were used for the numerical analysis of settlement for foundation soil of waste water supply line. The excessive settlement of waste water supply line during the pullout of sheet pipe walls. When the HDCM was applied as a ground improvement method to reinforce the foundation soil for waste water supply pipeline, the bearing capacity was increased greatly and the settlements was occurred as 37.6 mm and 48.5 mm, respectively, which is much less than the allowable settlement of 100 mm.

Keywords: Waste water pipe line, Ground settlement, Soft ground, Braced-cut

1 Introduction

The land reclamation from the sea has been very popular method to obtain the required land for the construction of industrial complex, harbour facilities, and residential area in the past 40 years. In the last end of land development project, the various utility lines such as drinking and waste water supply and collection pipelines as well as electrical cable should be installed underground at the unfavorable soil ground condition. A number of braced-cut system were adopted secure the stability of temporary braced-cut walls such as sheet pile wall, H-pile with soil-cement wall, deep mixing column and jet grouting with bracing system un the soft ground.

In general, the deep cement mixing(DCM) column improving the soft clay ground by mixing chemical stabilizer which consisted of cement and lime at the original site is used for the infrastructure construction. Deep cement mixing is used to reduce the generation of waste during soft soil improvement and achieve low noise in a short period of time. The fundamental improvement principle of the deep mixing process is in the formation of a rigid hardened body produced by the hydration reaction between the stabilizer and water. The chemical reaction (pozzolanic reaction) between the product by the hydration reaction and the marine clay material improves the soft ground (Shin et al., 2009).

Deep mixing method started to be developed from a research work by the Research Institute of Harbor Technology belonged to the Ministry of Transport of Japan since 1976. At the same time, lime column was developed and used by now in Sweden which is method of mixing soil in underground as injecting the powder of quick lime into the ground through the air pipe with high pressure. In domestic study about deep mixing, since the SEC (special earth concreting) method with which cement is used as hardening agent was introduced from Japan in 1985. It has been applied mainly to a retaining wall, foundation for building, foundation of seawall or quay as a harbor construction. In the related research, Bergado et al. (2002) studied recent developments of ground improvement in soft Bangkok clay. Kim et al. (2005) conducted a reliability analysis of the external stability of the quay wall installed in the deep mixed soil. Park et al. (2006) studied reliability analyze with respect to external stability of quay founded on deep mixing ground. Lee et al. (2007) studied with respect to formation shape of cement mixing bulb with construction condition of deep mixing method. Han et al. (2007) studied about strength of cement mixing bulb by construction condition of deep mixing method. Chon (2010) studied about compressive strength characteristics for deep mixing method. Kim et al. (2011) analyzed the effect of the deep ground mixing and sand treatment method on the application of the lower ground and retaining line. Recently DCM lift injection method has been applied in Incheon coastal area (Park, 2017).

The purpose of this study was to analyze the cause of deformation and differential settlement during the installation of waste water pipeline around a natural river and to propose a countermeasure through stability analysis.

2 Earth pressure during excavation on soft ground

The active thrust on the bracing system of open cuts can be estimated theoretically by using trial wedges and Terzaghi's general wedge theory (1941). Triangular distribution earth pressure theory used in the design of retaining wall is significantly different in case of retaining wall in soft ground. The larger the deformation behaves the smaller the earth pressure.

When determining the construction depth of the retaining wall and the cross-section of the self-supporting sheet pile, the earth pressure mainly used for Rankine-Resal earth pressure calculation is mainly used. In the case of assuming that the back ground of the retaining wall is horizontal, ignoring the wall friction angle with the wall, the main earth pressure and the passive earth pressure at the bottom of the excavation are expressed by the following Eq. (1) and (2), respectively.

$$P_{a} = \gamma_{t} z_{w} + \gamma'(z - z_{w}) + qK_{A} - 2c\sqrt{K_{A}}$$

$$P_{p} = \gamma_{t} z_{w} + \gamma'(z - z_{w}) + qK_{P} - 2c\sqrt{K_{P}}$$

$$(1)$$

(2)

where, P_a is the main earth pressure at the depth of z, P_p is the passive earth pressure at the depth of z, γ_t is the wet unit weight of the soil, γ' is the unit weight of the soil in water, z is the depth to any point on the surface, z_w is the depth from the surface to the groundwater surface, q is the surface load on the surface, and Φ is the internal friction angle of the soil.

Experimental earth pressure distributions are presented based on actual field measurements, and Peck (1969) 's empirical earth pressure distribution is the most used. These diagrams for cuts in sand, soft to medium clay, and stiff clay are given in Fig 1.



Fig. 1. Pressure diagram for cuts in sand(a), soft medium clay(b), and stiff clay(c) (Das & Sobhan, 2014)

The transverse earth pressure starts from the stationary earth pressure. When the wall is pushed to the excavation side, the earth pressure decreases to the main earth pressure. If it is pushed to the back side, the earth pressure continues to increase but the manual earth pressure can't be increased. In other words, the minimum and maximum earth pressure limits are set. The ground modeling is simulated by a spring, and the basic equation of carbon spring is given by the following Eq. (3).

$$E_w I_w \frac{d^4 y}{dz^4} + \frac{A_p E_p}{L_p} y = p_i \pm k_h y$$

(3)

where, ${}^{E_{w}}$, ${}^{L_{w}}$ are elastic modulus and moment of inertia of the earth retaining wall and ${}^{A_{p}}$, ${}^{E_{p}}$, ${}^{L_{p}}$ are cross sectional area, elastic modulus and length of the supporting structure, respectively. ${}^{P_{i}}$ is initial at rest earth pressure (${}^{\sigma_{0}}$), ${}^{k_{h}}$ represents the horizontal reaction force coefficient. Using Eq. (3) in SUNEX ver. w6.16(Jang, 2015) and EXCAV ver. 2.51(Oh, 2004), which are currently used as commercial software, stability of the wall is analyzed. The lateral displacement of the wall at each step, the shear force and moment acting on the wall, and the axial force acting on the support are obtained. Figure 2 shows the analyzing model using the equation.



Fig. 2. Schematics of elastic beam model

3 Subsurface exploration on soft ground and soil characteristics

The total length of this construction is 7.9km and 3.55km is overlapped with natural river construction. A total of three investigations were conducted on the design subsurface exploration of the waste water pipeline. In this study, the existing ground surveys were combined and re-confirmed the soft ground layer through additional drilling of 5 holes. The sample was mostly fine grained soil which is over 50% passing of sieve number 200. It was carried out water washing method and hydrometer distribution test. As a result of particle size analysis by unified classification method, the soil type of KG-1 to KG-3 was CL, and KG-4 was identified as ML.

The preliminary consolidation load was 79.36 kPa to 101.40 kPa, the compression index was 0.302 to 0.4337, Moisture unit weight of soil was 17.18 kN/m³ to 18.09 kN/m³, and the initial void ratio was 1.162 to 1.420. Over consolidated ratio was about 1.0 as a normally consolidated soil. In-situ test was performed. Cohesion of soil was measured with a field vane tester in order to confirm the undrained shear strength of undisturbed state and disturbed state.

The test results showed that the boring depth was about $3.5 \sim 5.0$, cohesion of undisturbed sample with depth was $21.4 \sim 23.3 kPa$, cohesion of disturbed sample was $2.6 \sim 3.5$, and the sensitivity ratio of each boring was $7.15 \sim 8.23$. On the design, cohesion was similar with additional survey as $22.0 \sim 35.3$, but sensitivity was not considered. Soil samples of KG-1, KG-2, KG-3, KG-4 were very sensitive. Therefore, it is expected that the ground has large deformation or the settlement possibility is high due to the ground disturbance during excavation. Table 1 shows the results of the consolidation test on the undisturbed samples taken from the boring and field vane shear test.

No. Consolidation Test(ASTM D2435) Preconc_{ur} C. Comp-Unit Swelling (undissol-idatin (dis-OCR ression weight rt e_o load, index, cs turbed, turbed, (kN/m^3) index. c. $P_{c}(kPa)$ kPa) kPa) 0.41 0.11 18.09 1.04 1.42 KG-1 82.92 22.9 2.8 0.07 1.30 80.32 0.43 17.18 1.09 23.3 2.8 KG-2 79.36 0.39 0.06 17.66 1.08 1.19 21.4 KG-3 2.6 KG-4 101.40 0.30 0.05 18.05 0.95 1.16 28.4 3.5

Table 1. Test results of consolidation test and field vane shear test

4 Estimation of soft ground soil property

Cohesion and internal friction angle were compared and examined by Dunham, Terzaghi-Peck, Meyerhof, Osaki, Schmertmann, and Hisatake using empirical formulas based on SPI-N values. The design constants were calculated as shown in Table 2 based on the laboratory test results of the drilled specimens.

Soil type	Unit weight, r _t (kN/m ³)	Cohesion, c(<i>kPa</i>)	Internal friction an- gle(°)
Reclaimed layer	19.0	10.0	20
Accumulation (clay, N≤4)	17.0	17.0	5
Accumulation (clay, 4 <n≤10)< td=""><td>17.6</td><td>40.0</td><td>5</td></n≤10)<>	17.6	40.0	5
Accumulation (sand)	18.0	5.0	25
Weathered soil	19.0	20.0	30
Weathered rock	20.0	30.0	33

Table 2. Soil property of shear strength for each layer in soft ground

S	oft rock	23.0	100.0	33

Table 3 shows that the pre-consolidation load was 70.6kPa to 85.1kPa, compression index was 0.325 to 0.522, swelling index was 0.06 to 0.124, consolidation coefficient was $1.96e-3cm^2/sec$ to $8.09e-3cm^2/sec$, and initial void ratio values was 1.032 to 1.311. It was applied in the design of sewer pipeline construction.

Boring No.	Preconsoli- dation load, P _c (kPa)	Compress-ion index, c _c	Swelling index, c _s	Consolidation coefficient c _v (cm ² /sec)	Initial void ratio, e₀
BH-1	70.6	0.522	0.124	1.96E-03	1.311
BH-2	77.1	0.347	0.060	4.44E-03	1.032
BH-3	85.1	0.325	0.063	8.09E-03	1.195

Table 3. Soil property on design around waste water pipeline

In this study, consolidation data was revised using additional boring and existing boring data for the soft ground settlement sections. The average value showed little bit lager than that of design value. The revised soil property was shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Revised soil property around sewer line on this research

Division	Boring No.	Preconsoli- dation load, P _c (kPa)	Compre- ssion index, c _c	Swelling index, cs	Consolida-tion coefficient, c _v (<i>cm²/sec</i>)	Initial void ratio, e₀
No.8+0 ~ No. 50+18.0	KG-1	82.9	0.410	0.118	3.148E-03	1.42
No.50+18 ~ No. 125+4.0	BH-3	85.1	0.325	0.063	8.090E-03	1.19

After STA. No. 50+18.0, there is a pressure pipeline along the waste water pipeline in the adjacent area, and the overburden load is expected to increase due to the embankment construction in the future. Also it was found that the depth of soft ground layer was deeper than that of original design from STA. No. 69+4.0 to STA. No. 125+4.0.

5 Comparison with numerical analysis

5.1 Elasto-plastic modelling for temporary earth wall

Structural analysis was carried out a beam on elasto-plastic foundation model. It is similar to the beam on winkler foundation used to design piles with a foundation or horizontal load. The wall stability at the final stage was evaluated from the stepwise excavation analysis. It is assumed that the stress is redistributed due to the empirical earth pressure over time after the excavation is completed. The stability of the earth retaining walls and support materials to the earth pressure is evaluated. Soft soil property was revised using the additional ground survey of 5 boring data, the subsurface exploration data of basic and detailed design of the sewer pipeline and the subsurface exploration data of the basic and detailed design of the natural river improvement project. As a result, the soft ground layer was deeper than the original design ground survey with a maximum of 12m, and the ground layer also changed. Based on the revised plan, three weakest section sites were selected. EXCAV and SUNEX were used to evaluate the stability of the pipeline by prefabricated wall.

5.2 Stability analysis for deep wall after ground improvement

Stability of construction depth of temporary wall was analyzed. Figure 3shows the cross section with braced-cut.



Fig. 3. Braced-cut on the section

When the construction depth of temporary wall is specific depth at the maximum excavation depth, the safety factor of the construction depth is calculated higher than standard of safety factor 1.2. The results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Results of stability analysis for construction depth of each section

Section	Excavation	Construction	Safety factor		
Section	depth(m)	depth(m)	Result	Minimum Standard	
Soft Section -A	5.5	4.0	2.46	1.2	
Soft Section –B	5.5	3.0	1.67	1.2	
Soft Section –C	5.5	4.0	1.53	1.2	

Soft Section –D	7.1	5.9	1.79	1.2
Soft Section -E	4.1	7.4	1.83	1.2

Safety for ground settlement was calculated. Clay Section-A was 7.2m in the thickness of the soft ground, and no other channel was buried in the vicinity. Clay Section-B is 8.6m in thickness of soft ground. An existing line appeared at a distance of 2.98m from the position where the sewer pipeline is constructed. Clay Section-C was 12m in thickness of the soft ground, and the soft ground thickness of the three sites was the largest. Figure 4 shows cross section of Clay Section-C as original design section and reinforced section for ground improvement and Figure 5 shows the result of settlement with elapsed time.



Fig. 4. Cross section Clay Section-C with ground improvement



Fig. 5. Ground settlement with elapsed time

Before ground improvement, settlement of some area using K-Embank ver. 3 did not satisfy the criteria on the road settlement standard. Table 6 shows the basement settlement of pipeline and allowable settlement after ground improved by using HDCM method.

			-	-	
	Diameter of	Settlement of	After ground improvement		
Section	ріре (<i>mm</i>)	base (mm)	Result(mm)	Criterion(mm)	
Clay Section-B	1,000	146.1	37.5	100	

48.5

100

109.7

Table 6. Estimated settlement of pipeline before and after ground improvement

6 Discussion

1,000

Clay Section-C

As a result of the analysis of the soft ground, it is confirmed that it is highly sensitive soft clay. Therefore, it is suggested to improve the ground using the high strength DCM method(HDCM). For the construction of sewer pipeline on the deep soft ground, combination method like SCW, SGP, and sheet pile with ground improvement were proposed. The lower part of the pipeline was stabilized by using HDCM. The SCW method is effective in passive earth pressure resistance, forming foundation

that resists heaving, and in the reclamation area. The SGP method is capable of forming a foundation that is resistant to heaving, and is inexpensive when buried. The sheet pile has the advantage of being able to increase the effect of passive earth pressure resistance and to form the foundation to resist the heaving. We propose a method to prevent disturbance by using the semi-shield method in the section after Clay Section-C where the soft ground depth is highly deep in the lower part of the basement. Table 7 shows the result of safety review of the construction depth safety of the representative section

Division		Excav-ation depth (m) Const- ruction depth (m)		Maximum	Safety factor	
				settlement (mm)	Result	Decision
Original d	esign	7.1	5.9	76.47	1.786	O.K
Reduce construc- tion depth (SCW+ HDCM)EXCAVSUNEX	EXCAV	7.1	1.0	17.19	1.875	O.K
	SUNEX	7.1	1.0	31.34	2.13	O.K
Reduce construc- tion depth (SGP+ HDCM)	EXCAV	7.1	1.0	18.88	2.188	O.K
	SUNEX	7.1	1.0	28.89	2.43	O.K
Reduce construc- tion depth (Sheet Pile+ HDCM)	EXCAV	7.1	1.0	10.22	2.237	O.K
	SUNEX	7.1	1.0	21.45	2.43	O.K

Table 7. Comparison of safety factor on temporary wall type after soil improvement

7 Conclusion

This study carried out to find the causes of pipe deformation during the test construction in the overlapping section of the sewer pipeline and natural river construction. It was proposed the countermeasures for the application. In order to clarify the causes of pipe deformation and differential settlement, the present state of soft ground was reviewed by collecting the drill log data. In addition, the distribution and physical soil characteristics of the boring data were examined through laboratory and field experiment test based on the 5 boring data. The results of the causes and countermeasures of pipe damage are presented as follows,

1. In case of high sensitivity, it may cause the settlement due to ground disturbance at the extraction, which may damage the stability of the pipeline. Therefore, it is suggest ed that the improvement of the soft ground should be done as a way to minimize disturbance to the lower part of the basement.

2.Stability analysis was performed by applying SCW + HDCM and Sheet Pile+HDC M method to Clay Section-B and Clay Section-C sites as the representative sections m ost vulnerable to subsidence of pipeline.

3.As a result of 2m strengthening with HDCM method, the soft clay ground was improved and the strength increased and settlement amount decreased. The settling amount satisfies the allowable residual settlement amount, 100mm.

8 References

- 1. ASTM D2435. (2014) Standard test method for one-dimensional consolidation properties of soils. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, 04.08., pp246-260.
- 2. Bergado, D. T. and Lorenzo, G. A. (2002) "Recent developments of ground improvement in soft Bangkok clay", Proceedings of the International Symposium on Lowland Technology, Saga University, Japan, pp17-26.
- 3. Chon, S. G. (2010) Compressive strength characteristics for deep mixing method, Ph. D. thesis of L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Republic of Kazakhstan.
- 4. Das, B. M. and Sobhan K. (2014) Principles of geotechnical engineering, CENGAGE Learning.
- Han, W. S., Kwon, S. H., Kim, S. M., and Lee, K. Y. (2007) "Strength characteristics of improved soil on construction conditions of deep mixing method", Proceedings of Korean Geotechnical Society Conference 2007, pp923-928.
- 6. Jang C. S. (2015) Elasto-plastic analysis of step underground excavation(SUNEX) manual 13th edition for ver. w6.16., Geogroup Eng. Co., Ltd.
- Kim, Y. S, Choo, J. H., and Cho, Y. S. (2011) "Applicability study on deep mixing for urban construction", Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial Cooperation Society, Vol. 12, No. 1., pp500-506.
- Kim, Y. S., Park, O. J., and Huh, J. W. (2005) "Reliability analysis for the external stability of quay walls constructed on deep-cement-mixed ground, Proceedings of Korean Society of Civil Engineering Conference 2005, pp2104-2107.
- Lee, K. Y., Kwon, S. H., Kim, S. M., and Han, W. S. (2007) "Shape characteristics of improved soil on construction conditions of deep mixing method", Proceedings of Korean Geotechnical Society Conference 2007, pp226-223.
- 10. Oh, J. W. (2004) Program on the design of propped retaining walls for excavation by numerical method(EXCAV) manual ver. 2.51, Korean Geo-Consultants Co., Ltd.
- Park, C. S. (2017) Stability improvement effect of quay wall foundation ground using DCM lift injection method, Ph. D. thesis of Incheon National University, Republic of Korea.
- Park, O. J., Huh, J., and Kim, Y. S. (2006) "Reliability analysis and sensitivity analysis of quay walls constructed on deep-cement-mixed ground", Proceedings of Korean Society of Civil Engineers Conference 2006, pp2278-2281.
- Shin, E. C., Kang, J. K., Oh, D. H., Lee, B., Kim, B. H., and Jung, S. P. (2009) "Effect of Chemical Improvement by Hardening Agent on Soft Soil", Proceedings of 2009 Conference Co-Hosted by KISTEC & KGES, pp. 427-432.